

UCCSN Board of Regents' Meeting Minutes

August 15-16, 1959

08-15-1959

Volume 8 - Pages 151-170

UNIVERSITY OF NEVADA
REGENTS MEETING
August 15, 1959

The Board of Regents met in Room 205, Morrill Hall, on Saturday, August 15, 1959. Present: Regents Arnold, Broadbent, Germain, Lombardi, Tyson; Comptroller Hayden, Academic Vice President Wood, Engineer Rogers, President Armstrong. Mr. Porter represented the Office of the Attorney General. Mr. Barrett was present as an observer from the Nevada Taxpayers' Association. Reporters were Laxalt, Hulse and Nystedt. Regents Elwell and Grant were out of the State.

The meeting was called to order at 9:50 A.M. by Vice Chairman Lombardi.

1. Minutes of Previous Meeting

The minutes of the meeting of July 10-11, 1959 were approved upon motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Broadbent and unanimous vote.

2. Attorney General's Office

President Armstrong read a letter from Roger Foley, Attorney General, which stated that, if it met with the approval of the Regents, Mr. Porter would be designated to represent the Office of the Attorney General at meetings of the Board of Regents.

By consensus, the Regents approved and asked the Secretary to notify Mr. Foley.

3. Comptroller's Claims

President Armstrong presented claims for approval as submitted by Comptroller Hayden.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Broadbent, carried unanimously that the claims be approved as follows:

Regents Checks, numbers 89-381 to 89-384 and 90-1 to 90-25 inclusive for a total of \$425,651.35 for July.

State Claims, numbers 90-1001 to 90-1003 and 90-1 to 90-6 inclusive for a total of \$248,369.45 for July.

4. Gifts

Gifts which had been received by the University were presented by the President for official acceptance by the Board as follows:

Miscellaneous

From Mrs. Emil Fritsche, Reno - 14 volumes of English and European Literature for the University Library.

From Clark J. Guild, Jr., Reno, through the Friends of the University Library - \$5 in memorium of Gaston Uhalde.

From Mr. and Mrs. Robert A. Griffen, Reno - copy of the book, "Some Lesser Known Architecture of London", given to the University Library in memory of Russell Mills.

From Thomas E. Buckman, Reno - a Catalog of an Exhibition Commemorating the 250th Anniversary of the Birth of Carolus Linnaeus 1707-1957 compiled by his son, Thomas R. Buckman, and "Linnaeus" by Arvid H. Uggla, for the University Library.

From the International Harvester Company, Oakland, California - SD-240 engine for use in the mechanical teaching program of the College of Engineering.

From Beta Sigma Phi City Council of Las Vegas - \$280 for the Journalism Department of Nevada Southern Regional Division in honor of the late Mr. Charles P. Squires and Mrs. Squires, pioneer residents of Las Vegas who were active in the field of Journalism.

From the Estate of Wesley Elgin Travis - \$69,522 as the first installment from Trust No. 2 of the Travis Estate.

From Vern Waldo, Reno - turf, in excellent condition, from his yard.

Scholarships

From the Colonial Hotel Company, Reno - payment of \$60 for the C. E. Clough Scholarship in Civil Engineering.

From the Reno Lions Club Auxiliary - \$50 to be used as a scholarship in Speech Therapy.

From the Insurance Women of Reno, an affiliation of the National Insurance Women - \$250 to be used as a scholarship for a Sophomore student in the Orvis School of Nursing studying to qualify as a professional nurse.

From the First National Bank of Nevada, Minden Branch - \$175 representing the annual Douglas County High School Memorial Scholarship Award.

From the Pershing County Chamber of Commerce, Lovelock - establishment of a special one-time-only scholarship to be known as the Pershing County Chamber of Commerce Scholarship in the amount of \$100 and to be awarded to an Indian student attending the University of Nevada and a resident of Pershing County.

From the Estate of Alma M. Holmshaw - \$750 for the Holmshaw Scholarship Fund.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that the gifts be accepted and that the Secretary write an appropriate note of thanks to each donor.

5. Personnel Recommendations

President Armstrong presented the personnel recommendations as follows:

COLLEGE OF AGRICULTURE

Appointments as follows:

William Knox Sonnemann, Jr., as Specialist in Agricultural Information, effective July 13, 1959 at an annual salary rate of \$6500 based on 12 months service (replacement for Jerry Robinson).

Richard Gifford as Assistant Soils Research Physicist and Assistant Professor effective October 1, 1959 at an annual salary rate of \$7300 based on 12 months serv-

ice (replacing William C. Bianchi).

Eldon E. Moore as Assistant Agricultural Agent for Ormsby, Douglas and Storey Counties effective July 15, 1959 at a salary rate of \$5650 based on 12 months service (new position contingent upon continuation of Federal Indian Funds).

W. Darrell Foote as Assistant Professor and Assistant Animal Husbandman, effective August 20, 1959 at an annual salary rate of \$7300 based on 12 months service (replacement for James Kidwell).

Helen Baker Reyburn as Graduate Assistant in Home Economics at a salary of \$1200 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

Joe Nicholas as Assistant in Research, Equipment and Farm Service, effective July 1, 1959 at an annual salary of \$4100 based on 12 months service (replacement for Joseph Lash).

Lemoyne J. Weirich as Assistant in Research, Equipment and Farm Service, effective July 1, 1959 at a salary of \$4450 based on 12 months service (replacement for David C. De Vore).

John M. Connor as Research Assistant in Agronomy and Range Management for July and August 1959 at a salary of \$366.66 per month.

Change in Status as follows:

Rollie Weaver from Assistant Agricultural Agent in Churchill County to Assistant County Agent in Washoe County at an annual salary of \$6400 based on 12 months service, effective July 1, 1959 (replacement for Miller T. Hunter).

COLLEGE OF ARTS AND SCIENCE

Appointments as follows:

Dianna Marcella Vanni as Graduate Assistant in Biology at a salary of \$1800 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

John Gregg Folkes as Graduate Assistant in History and

Political Science at a salary of \$1800 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

Harold Goddard as Assistant Professor of Music at a salary of \$6500 for the academic year 1959-60 (replacement for Robert Perry).

Samuel Franklin Eccles as Assistant Professor of Physics effective November 1, 1959 at a salary of \$5600 for the 8 months ending June 30, 1960 (replacement for T. V. Frazier, on leave).

Jerry Lewis Morrison as Graduate Assistant in Physics at a salary of \$1800 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

Roland Terry Ellmore as Instructor in Speech and Drama at a salary of \$3500 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

MACKAY SCHOOL OF MINES

Appointments as follows:

Barbara A. Hamilton as Scientific Illustrator and Photographer for the Nevada Bureau of Mines, effective July 6, 1959 at a salary rate of \$4500 based on 12 months service (new position).

Bernard O. Lane as Lecturer in Geology-Geography at a salary of \$6600 for the academic year 1959-60 (replacement for Joseph Lintz, on leave).

Robert F. Schryver as Graduate Assistant in Geology-Geography at a salary of \$1800 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

Joseph N. Swinderman as Graduate Assistant in Geology-Geography at a salary of \$1800 for the academic year 1959-60 (replacement for Louis Bortz).

NEVADA SOUTHERN REGIONAL DIVISION

Appointments for the Fall Semester 1959 Evening Division as follows:

Jeanmarie Burnham as Lecturer in Sociology - \$225
Charles H. Miles, Jr. as Lecturer in Business Law -

\$337.50

Irving Lazar as Lecturer in Psychology - \$525

Sidney S. Saltzman as Lecturer in Philosophy - \$337.50

Resignation as follows:

Hugh Smithwick as Associate Professor of Health and Physical Education effective immediately (Mr. Smithwick is now on leave).

COLLEGE OF EDUCATION

Appointments as follows:

Robert G. Whittemore, Jr. as Lecturer in Education effective August 1, 1959, at an annual salary of \$7500 based on 12 months service (replacement for Burton Newbry, on leave).

Anne Elgean Orluck as Visiting Lecturer in Education, Post Summer Session 1959 - \$300

LIBRARY

Appointments as follows:

Richard M. Palcanis as Serials Librarian in the University Library effective September 14, 1959, at an annual salary rate of \$6000 based on 12 months service (new position).

John D. Horgan as Assistant Loan Librarian at a salary of \$4500 for the academic year 1959-60 (new position).

Martin Herman Dickstein as Assistant Catalog Librarian in the University Library effective September 14, 1959, at an annual salary rate of \$5600 based on 12 months service (replacement for Gail Shields).

STATEWIDE DEVELOPMENT PROGRAM OF HIGHER EDUCATION

Appointments as follows:

James Hoyt to teach Accounting in the Off-Campus Summer Session 1959 - \$500 (Stead Air Force Base)

Thomas James Leonard to teach Political Science in the Off-Campus Summer Session 1959 - \$450 plus \$100 to defray travel costs from Minnesota (Elko)

Dale M. Robison to teach Accounting in the Evening
Division 1959 - \$400 (Hawthorne)

Grace M. Donehower as Technical Assistant in the State-
wide Development Program at a salary of \$4400 based on
12 months service, effective July 1, 1959 (replacement
for Mary Frazier).

ASUN

Approval of contracts as follows:

James Mc Nabney as Graduate Manager and Manager of the
ASUN Bookstore at a salary of \$7900 based on 12 months
service for the year beginning July 1, 1959.

Trinie Erquiaga as Secretary-Bookkeeper to the Graduate
Manager and ASUN Bookstore at a salary of \$4800 based on
12 months service for the year beginning July 1, 1959.

Daniel Sobrio as ASUN President at a salary of \$720 for
the academic year 1959-60.

Sue Dea Pinneo as ASUN Secretary at a salary of \$540
for the academic year 1959-60.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Broadbent, carried
unanimously that the personnel recommendations be approved.

6. Investment Committee

As directed by the Board at its meeting on April 11, 1959,
the Vice Chairman appointed an Advisory Committee on Invest-
ments, consisting of Dr. Lombardi, Mr. Crumley, President
Armstrong and Mr. Hayden.

This Committee secured assistance of Mr. E. J. Questa and
Mr. J. Bergen, holding several meetings in which the Uni-
versity portfolio was studied. As a result of a meeting
July 20, recommendations were made by advisers Questa and
Bergen of the sale of certain securities, redemption of cer-
tain bonds and reinvestment of certain securities. By tele-
phone poll, President Armstrong received affirmative votes
from Regents Anderson, Arnold, Broadbent, Crumley, Elwell,
Germain, Lombardi, Tyson, and the following resolution was
prepared and delivered to the First National Bank as author-
ity to proceed:

RESOLUTION # 59-8

BE IT RESOLVED, that the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada hereby authorizes collection of the following matured savings bonds and sale of the following securities by the First National Bank of Nevada:

COLLECT MATURED SAVINGS BONDS:

Series G, due 8/56	\$12,000.00
Series G, due 3/57	3,000.00
Series G, due 8/57	4,000.00
Series G, due 2/58	500.00
Series G, due 1/59	20,000.00

SHARES	ISSUE	VALUE
255	United Fruit	\$ 8,574.38
20	Callahan Mining	127.50
5,000	Combined Metals	250.00
160	Idaho Maryland Mines	113.60
5,000	Prince Consolidated Mining	300.00
2,661	Mesabi Iron	59,796.00
2,400	American Cable & Radio	25,200.00
5.76	Edek Corp. (accept offer in liquidation)	86.40
1,728	Western Union	63,720.00

NEGOTIATE SALE IF POSSIBLE

SHARES	ISSUE	ESTIMATED VALUE
1,250	Clay Peters Building	\$65,000.00
40	Colonial Hotel	4,000.00

BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED, that L. E. Lombardi, Vice Chairman of the Board of Regents, be authorized to endorse the above securities for sales and collection, on behalf of the Board of Regents of the University of Nevada.

The 3 local banks were asked to submit an estimate of their charge for management of the University portfolio. Answers were received as follows:

First National Bank of Nevada

Acceptance or Setting-Up Fee	
Non-Recurring Charge	\$ 200.00

Annual Payment 1,800.00

Nevada Bank of Commerce

Acceptance Fee \$ 3,325.00

Annual Fee 8,310.00

Revocation Fee 16,620.00

The Security National Bank

Acceptance Fee 1/20 of 1% of the current reasonable market value of the securities or other property deposited.

Annual Fee 1/4 of 1% of the current reasonable market value of the securities or other property under management.

Recommendation of the Investment Committee was that the offer of the First National Bank of Nevada be accepted.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Broadbent, carried unanimously that arrangements be made with First National Bank of Nevada for management of the endowment funds on the terms proposed above; that the Investment Committee be authorized to manage the funds in cooperation with the bank; and that the Comptroller be authorized to turn over to the bank all securities and holdings of the University for custodianship.

7. Ladino Dairy

Mr. Hayden reported that in another week the University should receive the appraisers' reports and all the requirements set by the Attorney General will then have been fulfilled. The information from the appraisers will be forwarded to the Attorney General so that papers can be drawn for sale of the Ladino Dairy.

8. Legislation

In order to try to cover the field of proposed legislation, President Armstrong sent a memorandum to the Deans asking them to submit items which should be considered for possible legislation. Suggestions were made as follows:

(a) Investments - Request the Legislature to spell out the

intent of the State Constitution in regard to investment of funds. Mr. Porter recommended that this legislation not be sought in view of the recent ruling by Judge Priest that the University already has such authority.

- (b) Social Security for University Employees - Legislation was prepared for the last session but was not introduced on advice of the Legislature because it would open the door for all State employees to request participation.

Motion by Mr. Tyson, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that a bill be drafted to permit the employees of the University to participate in Social Security.

- (c) Prior Service Consideration - Dean Holstine requested that service credit for the retirement program be allowed for service in other states. If the State of Nevada had a reciprocity agreement, service up to perhaps 10 years could be applied on retirement under the Nevada State System. The Regents requested that President Armstrong discuss this matter with Mr. Kenneth Buck of the State Retirement Board.
- (d) WICHE - The legislation which approved participation in the Western Interstate Commission for Higher Education provided that anyone certified under WICHE for education in medicine, dentistry or veterinary medicine must either repay the State or practice for a 6-year period in a Nevada town of less than 3000 population. President Armstrong urged that the repayment provision be removed. It was agreed that this should be taken up first with the Nevada WICHE Commissioners and then presented to the Board of Regents.
- (e) Compulsory Retirement of Classified Personnel - A bill providing for compulsory retirement of classified personnel at age 70 passed the Legislature last session but was recalled and buried in committee. Since it was felt that the State Personnel Department might request similar legislation at the coming session Dr. Armstrong was asked to write to the State Personnel Department inquiring if that agency contemplates any such legislation.
- (f) Authority to Issue Bonds for Construction of Buildings

- Legislation was not introduced at the last session on the advice of leaders in the Legislature because the bonding capacity of the State had not been reached. Advice of Mr. Porter now was that this legislation should wait until 1961, and the Board agreed informally.

- (g) Student Fees for Construction Purposes - Dr. Wood proposed that student fees be set aside for construction purposes so that, at the end of the next 2 years, the University would be able to keep the building program going on its own. Mr. Porter advised asking the approval of the State Board of Examiners and foresaw no difficulty if the Legislature approves and replaces in the University appropriation a sum equal to the student fees.
- (h) Study of the Relationship of the University to State Control Units - State Personnel Department, State Planning Board and State Purchasing Agent. This was discussed only.

9. Permanent Endowment Plan

President Armstrong read and discussed his proposal for a long-range capital gifts program, as follows:

August 15, 1959

To Members of the Board of Regents:

Following my preliminary presentation to you at our meeting on July 10, 1959 of my suggestions for a long-range capital gifts program, or a permanent endowment fund drive, this outline has been prepared for your consideration.

The philosophical and factual basis for my recommendation that we undertake such a program is set forth in the address which I made last June to the Nevada State Bankers' Convention, entitled "The Role of the University in Our Economy", copies of which have already been distributed to you. A brief recapitulation of the basic considerations follows:

1. The most conservative estimates show that by 1965 the present enrollment of the University can be doubled, and by 1972 more than tripled.
2. It would be highly undesirable for the University to be

forced, due to lack of adequate financial resources, to restrict the enrollment of qualified Nevada students.

3. As the only institution of higher learning in the State, the University has a unique obligation to all citizens - not only in programs of resident instruction, Off-Campus and Evening Division, Correspondence Courses, etc. - but also through its public service and research facilities, which serve and strengthen the economy of the whole State.
4. An educational, public service and research program of the magnitude and quality justified by the needs of the years immediately ahead will be increasingly expensive, yet I believe that it is indispensable if the State is to grow and develop as it should.
5. The financing of such a program will require substantial support beyond what we may reasonably expect from State appropriations or student fees. The needs of the University are growing more rapidly than the foreseeable resources of the State in tax funds to meet those needs.
6. The very large increase in student fees which would be necessary to provide any substantial part of the desired additional income would be unwise and contrary to the entire philosophy of state-supported Universities.
7. Private philanthropy, through individuals and business and industry, is the only remaining source of support in the magnitude required.
8. Adequate financing of such a total program of the University can make it one of the best, most distinguished, most progressive and most productive institutions in the country.

I recommend, therefore, that we undertake immediately a Permanent Endowment-Capital Gifts Program designed to raise at least \$15,000,000 by 1964, the Centennial of the Constitutional provision of the State of Nevada which authorized the establishment of the University.

I.

The income from the Permanent Endowment Fund (which we may reasonably expect to approximate 5% annually, now that the Regents have full authority to manage the investments of

the University to the best advantage) would be used to supplement other income, and could be designated for the support of such important projects (although not necessarily limited to these) as the following:

1. Distinguished Professorships Income from \$2,000,000

Increments of \$5000 or more per year would be added to the base salary of a certain number of professorships to raise them to levels sufficient to bring outstanding individuals to the faculty. These distinguished professorships will in turn help to attract other leading scholars to the University and enable us to develop a truly outstanding faculty.

2. Visiting Distinguished Income from \$1,000,000
Professors

To bring a few of the world's leading thinkers and scholars to the Campus each year to supplement and stimulate the work of the regular faculty.

3. Graduate Fellowships and Income from \$1,000,000
Assistantships

To add depth and strength to our present graduate instructional and research programs. These will become of crucial importance as we begin the development of doctoral programs.

4. Faculty Advanced Study and Income from \$1,000,000
Travel Fund

To provide adequate financial support for the encouragement of professional improvement of faculty through advanced study during Summers, etc., and in frequent participation in professional meetings.

5. A University Press Income from \$1,000,000

To provide a vehicle for regular publication of faculty writing and research, which in turn will stimulate scholarly productivity.

6. Desert Research Institute Income from \$1,000,000
Permanent Fund

To stabilize the operation of the Institute without the

necessity of depending entirely upon research grants, which will fluctuate from year to year.

7. Special Research Projects Fund Income from \$1,000,000

To underwrite research projects in fields of special or particular importance to Nevada.

8. Library Book Fund Income from \$1,500,000

To supplement legislative appropriations for books and to strengthen our Library collection in areas of special, unusual or particularly expensive needs.

9. Special Equipment Fund Income from \$2,000,000

For the purchase of large or specialized items of teaching or research equipment, particularly of a nature which because of cost or other factors would be difficult to obtain by legislative appropriation.

10. Student Grants-in-Aid Fund Income from \$2,000,000

To provide scholarships or grants-in-aid for worthy and financially needy students who have particular talents in various fields. Such a fund will become increasingly important as enrollments grow heavily.

11. Lectures, Concerts and Exhibits Income from \$ 500,000 Fund

To provide adequate financing of these important adjuncts to our educational and public service programs.

12. Regents Discretionary Fund Income from \$1,000,000

For unanticipated contingencies and special University needs.

Total Permanent Endowment Fund \$15,000,000

II.

In addition to the Permanent Endowment Fund described above, we should also keep in mind the importance of specific Capital Gifts. A wide variety of such gifts is possible, and any one of them would constitute an enduring memorial to the donor or his designate.

1. The most tangible and impressive memorials are in the form of buildings. We know that the University's building needs on both Campuses will increase tremendously in the next 10 years, probably considerably beyond the capacity of the State to finance them. A recapitulation even of those buildings for which the need is already clear illustrates this fact forcibly:

- a. Central Heating Plant
- b. Engineering Building
- c. Science and Technology Building (Las Vegas)
- d. Life Science Building
- e. Social Science Building
- f. Home Management Residence
- g. Dormitory and Dining Facility (Las Vegas)
- h. Physical Science Building
- i. Student Infirmary
- j. Library Building (Las Vegas)
- k. Fine Arts Building (Las Vegas)
- l. School of Nursing Building
- m. Men's Physical Education and ROTC Building
- n. Relocation of Mackay Stadium
- o. Desert Research Institute Center

2. Capital Gifts to endow distinguished professorships (to be named for the donors or their designates) or special Library book funds (to be similarly named and indicated in the book plates) in the areas of the donors' interests, could supplement or even replace the same items listed under the Permanent Endowment Fund.

3. Other areas of importance for capital gifts are suggested by the following:

- a. The furnishing and equipping of a student room or rooms in new dormitories as they are built. The donor is identified by a permanent plaque in the room.
- b. Similarly, the furnishing and equipping of lounges or recreation rooms in the dormitories.
- c. Special teaching and research equipment (cf. Item 9 in I. above) as illustrated by some of our current needs, not likely to be met by legislative appropriation:

Educational Television Equipment	up to \$100,000
Nuclear Reactor	at least 100,000
Electronic Computer	60,000
Musical Instruments for the Band	20,000
Pianos	15,000
Organ for Fine Arts Building	25,000

III.

In such a Permanent Endowment-Capital Gifts Program, it should be our constant objective to obtain from the donors maximum discretion in the management of the funds by the Board of Regents. The most useful of all gifts are those which are as little restricted as possible, in which the donor leaves to the good judgment of the governing board the decisions as to the most fruitful and productive uses of the income. This is particularly important because of the changing needs of the University from year to year. Nobody today can accurately estimate what the University's needs may be 50 or even 20 years from now. On the other hand, we must recognize that some donors will wish to restrict their gifts to certain special or general purposes within the University. This can still be accomplished without the undesirable aspects of too great restriction by their designating, for example, that the income is to be used for the improvement of faculty salaries, or for the purchase of educational or research equipment, or of any of the general purposes listed above.

No more splendid or enduring memorial can be achieved than by a wise gift for capital purposes to an educational institution. This is the kind of immortality that many individuals would like to attain, because they know that their gifts will go on producing benefit for future generations of young men and women.

IV.

If the program here proposed is approved, then I recommend that we move into its implementation as rapidly as possible in general accordance with the following suggested procedures:

1. Ours is essentially a long-range plan calling for a sustained and steady effort over a period of time. In my opinion, however, it is not one which needs high pressure tactics or professional fund-raisers, nor do

I think that such a campaign would win the acceptance we desire.

2. Instead, I propose that appropriate University officers particularly the President together with members of the Board of Regents take the lead in organizing a rather large Development Committee of Volunteer Friends of the University, consisting of influential leaders in the business and professional communities of the State, to work with us in the solicitation of gifts. In the final analysis, all successful fund-raising programs have depended upon the quality and devotion of the efforts of volunteer workers, non-professionals who sincerely believe in the importance of the objectives of the program and are willing to devote a reasonable amount of time to their accomplishment. We might well look to some of the members of our Citizens' Advisory Committees for this cooperation, and, in fact, at least two of these Committees have already gone on record to pledge support for a program of this kind.
3. Two groups whose cooperation will be of crucial importance are the attorneys and bank officers, particularly trust officers, because of their relationship to wills, bequests, trusts and tax advice. We should immediately undertake to enlist their support through personal contacts and discussions, providing them full information on the University's needs and interests.
4. An attractive and appropriate brochure - perhaps a series of brochures - dealing with specific aspects of the program should be prepared, as a means of setting forth the program in considerable detail, with sections describing the tax and inheritance benefits of various kinds of gifts to the University. Such a brochure or brochures will be invaluable tools for our cooperating volunteers, as well as informational pieces for prospective donors.
5. Finally, I believe it is of the utmost importance that the entire program be coordinated through the office of the President, to provide centralized direction and supervision, so that we may avoid conflict, duplication or dissipation of effort, and so that the Regents may be kept continuously and consistently informed of all aspects of the progress of the program.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles J. Armstrong
President

Motion by Mr. Tyson, seconded by Mr. Broadbent, carried unanimously that the Regents approve the plan in principle and authorize the President to implement it in line with his report, and that the Chair appoint a small committee of the Board to work with the President.

Vice Chairman Lombardi appointed Regents Germain and Tyson.

10. Radiological Laboratory

Under date of June 29, 1959, Dean Carlson wrote to President Armstrong giving details of a conference with Mr. Andrew Ruckman, Managing Director of the Southern Nevada Industrial Foundation. Mr. Ruckman had been in touch with Senators Bible and Cannon and confirmed the fact that it seems likely that a second Radiological Laboratory will be established in the western part of the United States to serve the states west of the Mississippi River. In conference with officials of the Public Health Service, Dr. Armstrong learned that the Radiological Laboratory serving this area would have as its primary service the testing of milk, water and bone samples. Facilities needed would consist of (1) Radiological Laboratory, (2) Counting Room, (3) Office Space. These quarters would be leased from the University. On the basis of the correspondence and these conferences, the University expressed to the U. S. Public Health Service a desire to explore further the possibility of providing these facilities on the Las Vegas Campus. A favorable reply was received from the Surgeon General for further exploration.

Advantages to the University would be:

- 1) Aid in development in the field of Science.
- 2) Advance the University's ability to get into radiological work. The government will provide highly expensive equipment which would be available to faculty and to a limited extent to students.
- 3) There would be available from the Public Health Service a considerable specialized scientific talent to assist in giving guest lectures or to assist in the development of courses.

- 4) The facilities that would be available to Nevada Southern University would be unique. Few western Universities have access to such fine facilities except California.
- 5) It might be possible to provide some part-time or Summer employment for a limited number of students and/or Professors.
- 6) It would be much simpler to participate in research contracts with the government if the University were already cooperating in this project.
- 7) It might make it easier to secure staff members if the University has facilities of this type.

President Armstrong recommended that the Regents approve all feasible participation.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that the Regents approve actions taken so far in making approaches to the Public Health Service, and authorize the President to proceed with negotiations subject to approval by the Board.

The meeting recessed at 12:10 noon for luncheon.

The recessed meeting was called to order at 1:50 P.M. by Vice Chairman Lombardi with all present who were present in the morning session, and also with Regents Anderson and Crumley in attendance.

11. Faculty Prizes

Action of the Board of Regents August 17, 1957 offered prizes for the 6 best plans to improve efficiency and effectiveness of the teaching program. Only 1 member of the faculty has submitted a plan so far and it is being reviewed by a special committee. Since the original plan did not carry a deadline, President Armstrong asked the Regents now to make the offer more specific.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Tyson, carried unanimously to extend the program for another 2 years offering 6 prizes of \$1000 each, and that the faculty be so informed.

12. Building Program

Dr. Anderson, Chairman of the Regents Building Committee, reported. The Building Committee was instructed to consider the possible variations, plus the original site, for the Library building. On July 16 the Committee met with the Administration of the University; minutes of the meeting are as follows:

Minutes of the Meeting of the Building Committee of the Board of Regents with the Architects for the New Library Building and Representatives of the State Planning Board.

1. The meeting was held on July 16, 1959, beginning at 8 P.M., in the office of the President of the University. Present were Dr. Fred Anderson, Chairman; Mr. Arnold, Dr. Lombardi, Mr. Tyson, members Regents Building Committee; Architects Alexander and Vhay; Mr. Isbell, Mr. Thompson, Mr. Fitts, Mr. Bissell representing the State Planning Board; Mr. Rogers, University Engineer; and President Armstrong.
2. Dr. Anderson presided. In response to the request made by the Board of Regents in their meeting on July 10, 1959, the architects presented a written supplementary report concerning the proposed plans for the Library building, together with a photograph of the model and various plans and sketches. A copy of this material is attached to the original of these minutes. The particular purpose of the re-study was the question of possible re-orientation of the building, modification of interior arrangements, the consideration of the possibility of a 4-story building instead of a 3, and the decorative arcade.
3. Mr. Vhay led a discussion of the various aspects of the report and provided considerable additional detail. There followed extensive discussion of the problems which would be occasioned by the re-orientation of the building. For example, it was brought out that re-orientation of the building to a north-south axis would not permit the present north-south Campus road to continue to the north to any extent beyond the building, because of the fact that with such a re-orientation the road would encounter the fence of the present Stadium immediately upon passing the northeast end of the Library building. It was also brought out that future expansion of the rotated building would be much more difficult and expensive and would result

in an increased elimination of parking area.

4. Considerable discussion followed, concerning the height of the building and various problems occasioned by a 4-story treatment.
5. A third area of discussion concerned access to the conference rooms, and a discussion of the feature incorporated by the architects, which would permit the use of 6 temporary classrooms in the reference reading area until such time as the full development of the Library Program requires the complete use of all Library facilities.
6. A motion was made by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Tyson, that the Building Committee of the Board of Regents approve the proposed site and orientation of the Library building, as in site plan A, originally submitted by the architects. Unanimously approved.
7. A motion was made by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, that the Committee approve plans for a 3-story building, as submitted in the original proposal of the architects. Unanimously approved.
8. A motion was made by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Tyson, that the Committee express its satisfaction with the plans as originally proposed with reference to the arrangement of and access to conference rooms. Unanimously approved.
9. Then there followed extensive discussion concerning the proposed arcade extending to the east of the Library building, connecting it with the quadrangle. The various reasons for its retention as proposed by the architects were thoroughly investigated and discussed. Although it was agreed that the arcade as now contemplated performs a function which is primarily decorative, it is still true that the arcade serves the function of providing access to the Library from the east and from the quadrangle.
10. Motion was made by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Arnold, that after careful study of the architects' supplementary report, with particular reference to the arcade and the subsequent modifications which would provide a new ramp also from the west, and a new elevation of the building as a whole, this program

be approved by the Building Committee of the Board of Regents as constituting the best total plan for the Library. Unanimously approved. In addition, at Dr. Anderson's suggestion, the other individuals present were asked to express an opinion on this motion, and all voted unanimously in favor of it.

11. Mr. Bissell then presented the proposed schedule of the Planning Board for the 1960-61 capital program of the State, and indicated that the Board of Regents should be ready to submit recommendations concerning the University Building Program at a meeting of the Planning Board on August 20, 1959. Mr. Bissell urged that the University ask the Legislature for funds of approximately \$150,000 for professional assistance in developing a master plan for the University, including a space utilization study and a building site plan, to be correlated with an educational master plan to be developed by the University.
12. The next meeting of the Building Committee of the Regents was set for Thursday evening, July 23, at 8 P.M. in the office of the President, to discuss the proposed Building Program for the University.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles J. Armstrong
Acting Secretary

Dr. Anderson also reported on an informal meeting which the Committee held with members of the State Planning Board in Mr. Isbell's office on July 30 to discuss the plans for the Library building, among other things. Plans for the Library building had been signed by Mr. Isbell, but later he reversed his stand and asked for this meeting with the Building Committee. As a result of the meeting, Mr. Isbell re-signed the plans.

Dr. Anderson read the minutes of the meeting of the Building Committee held on July 23, 1959, as follows:

Minutes of the Meeting of the Building Committee of the Board of Regents July 23, 1959.

1. The meeting was held in Room 205, Morrill Hall, at 8:30 P.M. The following were present: Dr. Anderson, Chairman; Mr. Arnold, Mr. Crumley, Dr. Lombardi, Mr.

Tyson, members of the Committee; Mr. Rogers and President Armstrong. Dr. Anderson presided. Main item of business was discussion and analysis of a proposed priority list (prepared by Dr. Wood and Mr. Rogers) for the 1960-61 Capital Improvement Program of the University on both Campuses.

2. The Student Union building addition was eliminated from the list in view of the fact that the second bequest from the Jot Travis Estate will soon become available to the Regents, which can be used to provide funds for the proposed addition to the Student Union. Then there followed discussion as to the most suitable plan for provision of a Student Health Facility and it appeared that the most practical method would be to add this as a third floor to the Student Union building addition and to seek State funds for this project.
3. A motion was made by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, that the Student Health Facility be planned as a third floor addition to the Student Union building addition and that State funds be sought for its planning and construction in the 1960-61 program. Unanimously approved.
4. A motion was made by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Arnold, that the University should request an appropriate sum for a structural survey of Morrill Hall to determine what may be necessary for the preservation of the building as a historical monument for limited use. Approved unanimously. Mr. Rogers will investigate and recommend an appropriate sum.
5. After further discussion of priorities, it was agreed to list priorities for the Reno Campus and for the Las Vegas Campus separately, as follows:

Reno Campus

1. Central Heating Plant (construction funds)
2. Engineering Building (construction funds)
3. Social Science Classroom Building (construction funds)
4. Physical Science Building (construction funds)
5. Student Health Facility (construction funds)
6. Utilities, Walks and Parking (construction funds)
7. Landscaping (construction funds)

8. Men's Physical Education and ROTC Building (planning funds)
9. Life Science Addition to Max C. Fleischmann Building (construction funds)
10. Remodel Old Agricultural Building (planning funds)
11. Home Management Residence (planning funds)
12. School of Nursing Building (planning funds)

Las Vegas Campus

1. Science and Technology Building (construction funds)
 2. Land Acquisition (construction funds)
 3. Utilities, Walks and Parking (construction funds)
 4. Landscaping (construction funds)
 5. Library Building (construction funds)
 6. Fine Arts Building (planning funds)
 7. Dormitory and Dining Facility (planning funds)
6. The Dormitory and Dining Facility was placed at the end of the list for the Las Vegas Campus because of the Committee's belief that classroom and academic facilities are more important in the immediate future for the development of the Las Vegas Campus than would be a dormitory or dining facility, particularly in view of the desire that Nevada Southern may reach accreditation status as a 4-year institution at the earliest possible date.
7. Discussion then turned to the suggestion made at the last meeting of the Committee by Mr. Bissell that the University consider requesting money for a master plan of the Campus, including a space-utilization study, building site plans and aerial survey of the Campus, contour map, etc. Mr. Rogers displayed and discussed existing maps of the various utility systems of the Campus, the contours, the site plans and a general master plan. Mr. Rogers also pointed out that we now have full contour maps of the Reno Campus, with the exception of a small unsurveyed area north of the Federal Bureau of Mines.
8. A motion was made by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, that the Campus Development Committee be requested to study the master plan of the Campus and make recommendations for future allocation of various areas and functions, although not necessarily the actual building sites, and that the Committee transmit

its recommendations to the Regents through the President. Unanimously approved.

9. A motion was made by Mr. Crumley, seconded by Dr. Lombardi, that the University request in the next legislative session appropriate funds for aerial surveys of all University properties in the State, with the understanding that contour maps are to be made from the surveys. Unanimously approved.
10. It is further agreed that the master plan of the Campus, its utility distribution systems, contours and projections of building sites, together with allocation of areas and functions, is already in progress and will proceed continuously under the direction of the Regents through the Administration and faculty and the Campus Development Committee.
11. A motion was made by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Mr. Arnold, that the Committee recommend to the Regents at their next meeting that Center Street should be renamed University Avenue and that such a request be forwarded to the City Council. Unanimously approved.
12. Mr. Rogers was requested to obtain cost estimates for those items of the Capital Improvement Program which have not already been supplied, and to have them available for the August 15 meeting of the Regents.

Respectfully submitted,

Charles J. Armstrong
President

- (a) Referring to paragraph 11, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Dr. Lombardi, seconded by Dr. Anderson, carried unanimously that a request be forwarded to the Reno City Council that Center Street be renamed University Avenue.
- (b) Referring to paragraph 4, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Germain and carried unanimously, that a sum yet to be determined be requested for a structural survey of Morrill Hall and that it be included in the priority list of building projects to be submitted to the State Planning Board.

- (c) Referring to paragraph 3, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that a sum be included in our request to the Legislature equal to the money at present and in the near future to come from the Travis Estate as matching funds, with the intention of including the Student Health Facility in our priority list with a 1-step higher priority, the exact sum to be supplied by President Armstrong before submission to the Planning Board.

- (d) Referring to paragraph 9, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that for the present an aerial survey be made of all University properties within the State and that contour maps be made for only the two Campuses, the sum necessary to be supplied by Mr. Rogers, and that this project be given a high priority.

- (e) Referring to paragraph 8, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that the Campus Development Committee be requested to study the master plan of the Campus, including space utilization studies, and made recommendations for future allocation of various areas and functions, although not necessarily the actual building sites; that the Committee transmit its recommendations to the Board of Regents through the President, and that during the studies the members of the Committee, or as many members as possible, meet with the Regents Building Committee at least once during the deliberations and before the final presentation of recommendations to the Board of Regents.

- (f) Referring to paragraph 10, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, Dr. Anderson discussed a master plan which would be kept "fluid"; one that would show changes as they are made, or as they are contemplated; buildings would be constructed to scale and laid out according to their present position on the Campus. New buildings could be put on the model to see how they look and to locate crowded areas. Power distribution system, cables, walks, roadways, etc. would be shown by colored lines. Dr. Anderson proposed that the plan be laid out on a flat surface and be ready for the next session of the Legislature.

Motion was made by Dr. Anderson and seconded by Mr. Arnold, as follows: The University Engineer shall be instructed by the Administration to proceed with the planning and construction of model master plans of the two main Campus areas, to go at least one block depth of the areas surrounding the Campuses. He shall seek whatever help is deemed desirable from other areas of the University. For the implementation of this project, \$1000 shall be appropriated from otherwise unallocated funds. To further implement the preparation of master planning for both Campuses, it is recommended that a sum of \$5000 shall be requested from the Legislature in addition to the sum requested for obtaining aerial surveys and preparation of appropriate contour maps. The motion carried unanimously.

- (g) Fine Arts building furnishings were discussed by Mr. Rogers, who stressed the need to provide for them in the priority list.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Crumley, carried unanimously that the Fine Arts building furnishings be put at the top of the priority list for the Reno Campus.

- (h) Referring to paragraph 5, minutes of meeting of July 23, 1959, motion by Mr. Broadbent, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that the priority recommended by the Regents Building Committee for the Las Vegas Campus be approved, as follows:

Las Vegas Campus

1. Science and Technology Building (construction fund)	\$ 473,600
2. Land Acquisition (construction fund)	150,000
3. Utilities, Walks and Parking (con- struction fund)	38,000
4. Landscaping (construction fund)	10,000
5. Library Building (construction fund)	600,000
6. Fine Arts Building (design fund)	22,500
7. Dormitory and Dining Facility (design fund)	30,000
 Total	 \$1,324,100

The revised listing of priorities for the Reno Campus,

taking into consideration the changes authorized by the Board of Regents at this meeting, was agreed to be as follows:

Reno Campus

1. Furnishing for Fine Arts Building	\$ 50,000
2. Central Heating Plant (construction fund)	340,000
3. Photograph, Model and Aerial Surveys of Properties	12,700
4. Engineering Building (construction fund)	2,881,200
5. Social Science Classroom Building (design and construction fund)	1,280,000
6. Student Health Facility and Student Union Addition (design and construction matching funds)	Approximately 300,000
7. Physical Science Building (design and construction funds)	2,500,000
8. Utilities, Walks and Parking (design and construction funds)	60,000
9. Landscaping (design and construction funds)	5,000
10. Men's Physical Education and ROTC Building (planning fund)	15,000
11. Life Science Addition to Fleischmann Agricultural Building (design and construction funds)	665,000
12. Remodel Old Agriculture Building (design fund)	24,240
13. Home Management Residence (design fund)	5,000
14. School of Nursing Building (design fund)	11,326
15. Morrill Hall (structural survey)	1,000
Total	\$8,150,466

- (i) Women's Dormitory application to HHFA was discussed. Mr. Rogers and President Armstrong recommended that funds be sought for design, planning and construction of a Women's Dormitory as authorized by the Legislature in 1957.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Broadbent, carried unanimously that the Administration be authorized to go ahead with furtherance of the application for the Women's Dormitory, by submitting the necessary information to the State Planning Board so that formal

application can be made to the Housing and Home Finance Agency.

- (j) In general discussion, it was considered advisable to secure advice from members of the faculty who will be using the particular buildings of the Campus, before construction begins.

Motion by Dr. Anderson, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that, in line with past custom, a committee to be called an Ad Hoc University Building Committee be appointed by the President to study and make recommendations on each new building or major facility to be constructed by the University. The Committee's functions shall be as follows:

- 1 - It will be concerned with the uses and functions of the building as users.
- 2 - It shall not concern itself with the site, orientation, exterior or architectural appearance of the building.
- 3 - The entire Committee, or as many members as possible, shall meet with the Building Committee of the Board of Regents at least once during the final planning stages, the meeting to be as near as possible preceding the final presentation of recommendations to the Board of Regents.
- 4 - The Committee will be dissolved and its functions terminated when the final building plans are approved by the Board of Regents.

- (k) The Engineering-Mines Building Committee met on August 7 and took notice of the time limits set by the State Planning Board. A resolution was passed and forwarded to the President, putting forth its reasons for concluding as follows:

THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Engineering-Mines Building Committee recommend to the President of the University that they attempt to obtain a revision of the time table imposed by the Planning Board on the Architects, requiring virtual completion of plans and specifications for the Engineering-Mines building by December 1, 1959, and to recommend the extension of the date by at least

30 days.

Motion by Mr. Tyson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that the date of October 18, set by the Planning Board for checking of plans, be changed to December 1, 1959.

- (l) Mr. Rogers explained the plan for providing additional parking areas in view of removal of present parking areas which have been used for sites for buildings. He showed by a drawing of the Campus areas proposed for future development and suggested that the University go forward with surfacing the area of the site formerly used by the married housing units.

Motion by Mr. Broadbent, seconded by Mr. Tyson, carried unanimously that the Regents approve these additional parking areas and that the Engineer be authorized to proceed with surfacing the previous site of the married housing units.

- (m) The need to expedite the processes of the building program, especially as to securing of signatures and approval of plans, was discussed.

Motion by Mr. Tyson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that the Regents Building Committee be authorized to approve preliminary building plans.

- (n) Bids for the Greenhouse were opened by the State Planning Board in Carson City on August 12, 1959, as follows:

Savini Construction Company

Base Bid \$110,877 Alternate Add \$11,141

Hardesty & Sons

Base Bid \$115,000 Alternate Add \$11,700

Harrington Construction Company

Base Bid \$106,759 Alternate Add \$11,661

Lembke Construction Company

Base Bid \$125,979 Alternate Add \$ 9,850

The bid was awarded to Harrington Construction Company.

Motion by Mr. Tyson, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that the awarding of the bid be approved.

In line with the request by the Board of Regents on July 11, President Armstrong sent a memorandum to each Dean asking him to study the budgets "in an effort to determine whether the presently contemplated requested State appropriation can be reduced from a 28% increase over the current appropriation to approximately 24.5%." Replies indicated that it would be possible to make some adjustment in the Agricultural budget by removing from equipment an infra-red Spectro-Photometer in the amount of \$15,000 and in Student Affairs by reducing operation by \$1,625. An adjustment had been made in Arts and Science by removing an item in the amount of \$1,500 which was duplicated in the listing. President Armstrong stated that in his opinion any further budget cuts would seriously impair the University program.

Mr. Germain requested that the equipment amount for Athletics at Nevada Southern be increased to \$5,000 to provide for preliminary work in fielding a football team, that the operating budget for Athletics be increased to \$8,000 to provide for increase in enrollment, and that the salary item in the amount of \$6,200 for a new position be increased to \$12,000 to provide for an additional new person.

Motion by Mr. Crumley, seconded by Mr. Tyson, carried unanimously that the budget request be presented to the Legislature with the adjustments recommended by President Armstrong and Mr. Germain.

14. Nevada Southern Student Fees

President Armstrong presented a request from the President of the Confederated Students of Nevada Southern, as follows:

Having been authorized by the Student Body Senate and the Executive Committee, I would like to request a raise in the present student activity fee from \$10 per semester to \$11 per semester.

Such an increase would enable the Student Body to aid in the expense of the athletic program by our contributing to the Athletic Fund. If there are any questions on this subject, please contact me at any time.

The request was forwarded by Dean Carlson with his approval, and received Dean Wood's approval also. President Armstrong recommended that the request be granted.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Germain, carried unanimously that the request to increase the student activity fee at Nevada Southern from \$10 to \$11 per semester be approved effective with the Fall semester 1959, and that the Comptroller be authorized to collect this amount. The increase is to be allocated to the Athletic Fund.

15. Broadcasting Athletic Events

Mr. Hayden read a communication from Mr. Lawlor, Director of Athletics, recommending that a better bid might be received for a broadcasting contract on a 2-year basis. The advantages and disadvantages were discussed.

Motion by Mr. Crumley, seconded by Mr. Arnold, carried unanimously that the Administration, through the Athletic Department, be authorized to negotiate for bids in this connection and to accept the best bid for either one or two years.

16. Citizens Advisory Committee for the Library

Mr. Gordon Sampson, Secretary of the Citizens Advisory Committee for the Library, had requested on behalf of the Committee, that the Regents appoint one or more of its members to work with the Citizens Committee in preparing a resolution covering their previous request (minutes of March 7, 1959) that a project be undertaken to try to locate and preserve valuable historical documents in the State. President Armstrong recommended that the Chair appoint one Regent to work with Mr. Sampson and the advisory group.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Crumley, carried unanimously that the Vice Chairman appoint a member of the Board to work with the Citizens Advisory Committee for the Library in this capacity.

Vice Chairman Lombardi appointed Mr. Arnold.

17. Citizens Advisory Committee for Home Economics

President Armstrong read a letter from the Chairman of the Citizens Advisory Committee for Home Economics saying that Mrs. Robert Aiazzi had resigned because of pressure of other duties, and requesting that Miss Frances Humphrey be appointed in her place. President Armstrong recommended approval.

Motion by Mr. Arnold, seconded by Mr. Tyson, carried unanimously that the President be authorized to extend an invitation to Miss Humphrey to serve on the Citizens Advisory Committee for Home Economics in the place of Mrs. Aiazzi.

18. Solar Energy

President Armstrong reported that in recent weeks he has been in correspondence with Senators Bible and Cannon concerning the Association for Applied Solar Energy and that the University has now become a member of the Association. A meeting has been arranged for September 2 in Las Vegas with Mr. Mc Lean, Executive Vice President of the Association for Applied Solar Energy, and representatives of industry in Southern Nevada to discuss this and other projects that may be in the realm of applied solar energy, and particularly a potential role for the University of Nevada in such work.

19. Cancer Research Center

Dr. Anderson was in possession of 3 letters (not addressed to him or to the Board of Regents) concerning the development of a Cancer Research Center in Las Vegas and expressing the hope that this would lay the groundwork for a Medical School in Las Vegas. No action was requested.

20. Next Meeting

The next meeting of the Board was set for October 9 and 10. On Friday, October 9, the Regents plan to make a general inspection of Campus facilities and on Saturday, October 10, the regular meeting will be held.

The meeting adjourned at 5:45 P.M.

L. E. Lombardi
Vice Chairman

Alice Terry
Secretary